

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/764,321	01/16/2001	Mandayam Andampillai Sridhar	AMPSP004	7501
32986 7	590 11/19/2003		EXAMI	NER
IPSG, P.C.			FILIPCZYK, MARCIN R	
P.O. BOX 7006	640			
SAN JOSE, C.	A 95170-0640		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ			2171	3
			DATE MAILED: 11/10/2002	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/764,321	09/764,321 SRIDHAR, MANDAYAM ANDAMPILLAI				
Omec Action Cummary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Marc R Filipczyk	2171				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover s	sheet with the correspondence	address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however bly within the statutory minin will apply and will expire SI te, cause the application to b	er, may a reply be timely filed num of thirty (30) days will be considered tin X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this secome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).	nely. s communication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15	September 2003 .		•			
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ TI	his action is non-fin	al.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			the merits is			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application	•					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		ion.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirem	ent.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) $igotimes$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 January 2001</u> is/are	e: a)□ accepted or b	oxtimes objected to by the Examine	г.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	=					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on			niner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	and a site of the same of the	1100 C 440(a) (d) an (D				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	in priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (i).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	uto havo haan raasii	·od				
<u> </u>	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 					
			al Stago			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ureau (PCT Rule 17	'.2(a)).	ai Stage			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	tic priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provision	nal application).			
 a) The translation of the foreign language pr 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes 						
Attachment(s)	-					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 🛭	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper I Notice of Informal Patent Application (I Other:				

Response to Amendment

This action is responsive to Applicant's response filed on September 15, 2003 (paper #7).

Claims 1-7 remain for examination.

Drawings

Drawings are objected to because the way they have been whole punched rendered figures 10 and 14 incomplete. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Weissman (U.S. Patent No. 6,212,524).

Regarding claim 1, Weissman discloses a computer-implemented method for automatically presenting relationship information between a first table and a second table of a database, comprising: (col. 3, lines 1 and 2)

ascertaining an existence of a first foreign key relationship between first table and second table; (col. 11, lines 26-46)

if first foreign key relationship between first table and second table exists, automatically generating first executable codes to obtain, for a first given record in first table, a first number of records in second table that references first given record; (col. 3, lines 19-23) and (Note: Populating tables using code with foreign key)

when first given record is displayed in a view, also displaying first number of records in second table that references first given record (fig. 2; (figure 2 is not labeled, it falls in between fig. 1 and 3A), blocks 280 and 290).

(Note: by generating a query, the system provides an answer set containing the desired records from both tables)

Regarding claim 2, <u>Weissman</u> discloses records are hyperlinks (figures 3A and 6D, blocks 605 and 610).

(Note: attribute key in box 605 is a foreign key (fk), further attribute in box 610 is a hyperlink)

Regarding claims 3-7, Weissman discloses numerous tables linked by foreign keys (figures 3A and 6D, blocks 605 and 610) which can be displayed according to user preferences (figures 7-29).

(Note: Figures 7-29 describe a user interface that can be used for display, define a schema, build a datamart (table), and query the table)

Response to Arguments

·Application/Control Number: 09/764,321

Art Unit: 2171

Applicant's arguments filed on September 15, 2003 have been fully considered but they

Page 4

are not persuasive. The arguments and responses are listed below.

Applicant argues on page 4 of the 9/15/03 response that, "nowhere in that cited section

did Weissman disclose or suggest the feature of amended claim 1, i.e., that the foreign key

relationship be ascertained by the computer-implemented method from the database specification

at build time".

In response to Applicant's argument, Examiner disagrees. Applicant argues the time of

ascertaining the existence of a foreign key relationship between tables by specifically adding to

claim 1 "at build time". The Examiner does not give "at build time" patentable weight since

build time can basically mean "anytime" the system is used. Further, Weissman discloses

ascertaining the existence of a foreign key relationship between tables "at build time" by

including additional columns for foreign key tracking (col. 5, lines 18-21).

Examiner points out the outstanding drawing objection made in the first Office Action

will not be held in abeyance.

With respect to all the pending claims 1-7, Examiner respectfully traverses Applicant's

assertion based on the discussion cited above, as such, Examiner maintains the same rejections.

Conclusion

- Application/Control Number: 09/764,321

Art Unit: 2171

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Marc R Filipczyk whose telephone number is 703-305-7156.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on 703-308-1436. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

MF

November 14, 2003

SAFET METJAHIC SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINES.

Page 5

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100